



LEGE ARTIS

Language yesterday, today, tomorrow

Vol. III. No 2 2018



SPEECH ACT OF THREAT IN EVERYDAY CONFLICT DISCOURSE: PRODUCTION AND PERCEPTION

Nikita Probst, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russia*

Tatiana Shkapenko, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russia

Arina Tkachenko, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russia

Alexey Chernyakov, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russia




**Corresponding author*

Bibliographic description:

Probst, N., Shkapenko, T., Tkachenko, A. & Chernyakov, A. (2018). Speech act of threat in everyday conflict discourse: Production and perception. In *Lege artis. Language yesterday, today, tomorrow. The journal of University of SS Cyril and Methodius in Trnava*. Warsaw: De Gruyter Poland, 2018, III (2), December 2018, p. 204-250. DOI: 10.2478/lart-2018-0019 ISSN 2453-8035

Abstract: The article explores pragmasemantic aspects of the speech act of threat (SAT) in everyday conflict discourse, using examples from Russian colloquial speech. The authors analyze the impact of direct and indirect threats on the addressee from the point of view of the theory of speech acts, biopsychology, and physiology, which makes it possible to understand the nature of SATs and identify the key communicative and semantic factors of this type of speech acts.

Keywords: pragmalinguistics, theory of speech acts, speech act of threat, directive speech act, commissive speech act.

<p>Contact data Nikita Probst, CSc., (Philology), Associate Professor, Institute of Humanities, Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University 56a, Chernyshevsky str., Kaliningrad, 236000, Russia e-mail: NProbst@kantiana.ru</p>		<p>Fields of interest</p> <p>Psycholinguistics, theory of communication, discourse studies, modality</p>
<p>Contact data Tatiana Shkapenko, DSc. (Philology), Associate Professor Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University 56a, Chernyshevsky str., Kaliningrad, 236000, Russia e-mail: TShkapenko@kantiana.ru</p>		<p>Fields of interest</p> <p>Pragmalinguistics, linguistic globalization, Internet communication, social semiotics</p>
<p>Contact data Arina Tkachenko, CSc., (Philology), Associate Professor, Institute for the Humanities Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University 56a, Tchernyshevsky str., Kaliningrad, 236000, Rus- sia e-mail: atkachenko@kantiana.ru</p>		<p>Fields of interest</p> <p>Modality, language and textual modality, evaluation, mass media, newspaper discourse, document discourse</p>

<p>Contact data Alexey Chernyakov CSc., (Philology), Associate Professor Institute of Humanities Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University Chernyshevsky str., 56a Kaliningrad, 236000. Russia e-mail: achernyakov@kantiana.ru</p>		<p>Fields of interest</p> <p>Linguistics, poetics, semiotics</p>
--	---	--

Résumé

The authors perform a pragmasemantic analysis of the speech act of threat (SAT) in everyday conflict discourse. Special attention is paid to pragmalinguistic, biopsychological, communicative, and semantic effects of direct and indirect threats. Employing methods of linguistic research, the authors describe the main peculiarities of the use of direct and indirect forms of threat in everyday discourse, using segments of Russian feature films as examples. The authors define the speech act of threat as a complex speech act with a binary semantic structure, including the main directive part and the auxiliary commissive part. The data received from biopsychology show that a SAT activates the emotional state of fear, thus affecting the addressee both at the psychological and physiological levels. The authors also identify four main success criteria, common for direct and indirect forms of threat. A detailed analysis of the examples, illustrating the SAT functioning, proves the significance of non-verbal expression of these acts. According to the research, direct threats can be divided into two types: a direct threat with verbalized content elements and a direct threat with non-verbalized content elements. If both elements of the threat proposition are implied, this serves as a marker of an indirect threat, represented by other speech acts. The researchers' analysis has shown that the communicative effectiveness of an indirect form of threat can be as high as that of a direct one. In the context of everyday conflict discourse, direct threats tend to occur more frequently due to their simplicity and effectiveness. However, in cases where the communication environment imposes

certain limits on the communicants (the need to observe etiquette, the possibility of negative consequences for the speaker, etc.), an indirect threat may be more preferable.

Keywords: pragmalinguistics, theory of speech acts, speech act of threat, directive speech act, commissive speech act.

Article was received by the editorial board 23.05.18;

Reviewed 17.08.18. and 18.08.18.

Similarity Index 4%