

ANNUAL REVIEW REPORT FOR 2019

I would like to start Annual Review Report with the analysis of the article processing and rejection issue. This year we have received 28 articles, but published 16. The percentage of rejected articles is 45%; for the whole period since 2016 – 25.5%. It means that we manage to keep up the quality of papers and select for publication only those, which will be of interest to our readers. Inspired by the editorial written by Suvarna Satish Khadilkar, Editor-in-Chief of "The journal of obstetrics and gynecology of India" (which I strongly recommend to all our authors – <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13224-018-1153-1>), I also want to make a brief explanation of our rejection. Dr Khadilkar expresses sympathy for the authors, whose articles were declined, but mentions that "Rejection rates of various top-tier journals including ours vary between 80 and 85%. Some journals have reported it to be around 90-95%" (Khadilkar 2018: 241). Notwithstanding the fact that her journal as well as others belong to top-tier medical journals, some reasons for article rejection are identical. I will cite some of her explanations (Khadilkar 2018: 240) and add more. I will mark in colour those reasons, which prevail in 'Lege artis' and accompany some with the comments of my own:

- ❖ *"The paper and the subject may be out of scope and not suitable for the journal and readership"* – Though we have a wide range of traditional language disciplines under discussion, listed on our web site, some authors sent us their articles on history, medicine, and social sciences.
- ❖ *"Weak hypothesis"* – some authors even fail to mention it.
- ❖ *"Poor methodology, small sample size, poor statistical analysis"*.
- ❖ *"Suspected or confirmed plagiarism"* – As far as we have many cases of it, we had to add the following paragraph into **Publication Ethics & Publication Malpractice Statement**: "The paper should not be a translated copy of the author's PhD or any other paper that was published before **in another language**. Suspected or confirmed plagiarism and self-plagiarism are considered a serious reason for paper rejection".
- ❖ *"Aims do not raise the right kind of questions, and background lacks clarity of thoughts"*.
- ❖ *"Data are incomplete, and the methodology section has irreparable deficiencies"*.
- ❖ *"Instructions to authors are not followed strictly"* – Sometimes I have the feeling that few authors open our Guidelines and follow them, though we have instructions on 19 pages how to format the text, figures, tables, and references of any kind.
- ❖ *"Figures are of low resolution, and tables are too complicated and are not comprehensible"* – We demand figures in a JPEG or PDF format. Very often, they are in a Word format, ungrouped.
- ❖ *"Discussion and conclusions do not answer the question posed in aims and objective"* – Very often conclusions contain citations and are very short for the article of such a size.

- ❖ *"Poor language and many spelling and grammatical mistakes create a bad impression on the reviewers"* – It is one of typical drawbacks of those authors whose English is not native.

Journal cite activity report for 2019

1. Most visited blocks of our web site during the period 01.01.2019 – 31.12.2019

<input type="checkbox"/>	Page ?	Total Events ?	Unique Events ?
		2,439 % of Total: 100.00% (2,439)	2,165 % of Total: 100.00% (2,165)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. /guidelines/	588 (24.11%)	492 (22.73%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. /issue-1-2019/	440 (18.04%)	379 (17.51%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. /issue2-2018/	349 (14.31%)	310 (14.32%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. /editorial-board/	283 (11.60%)	254 (11.73%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. /issue1-2018/	169 (6.93%)	161 (7.44%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. /issue-2-2019/	128 (5.25%)	117 (5.40%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. /reviewers/	117 (4.80%)	107 (4.94%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	8. /issue1-2016/	92 (3.77%)	86 (3.97%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	9. /category/issues/	61 (2.50%)	54 (2.49%)

2. Most read articles from all the issues during the timeslot mentioned above

<input type="checkbox"/>	Event Action ?	Total Events ?	Unique Events ?
		440 % of Total: 18.04% (2,439)	379 % of Total: 17.51% (2,165)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. COGNITIVE PRAGMATIC REGULARITIES IN COMMUNICATIVE MANIFESTATION OF POSITIVE EVALUATION	88 (20.00%)	77 (20.32%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. CHARISMA AND FEMALE EXPRESSIVENESS: LANGUAGE, ETHNOCULTURE, POLITICS	62 (14.09%)	51 (13.46%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. GENDER-SPECIFIC EMOTIVITY OF VICTORIAN FEMALE PROSE FROM A MULTIDIMENSIONAL PERSPECTIVE	58 (13.18%)	51 (13.46%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF THE FRAME OF KNOWLEDGE IN ENGLISH AND POLISH: PRELIMINARIES	52 (11.82%)	45 (11.87%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. MARKETING TERMINOLOGY THESAURUS: THE COMPETITION SEGMENT	49 (11.14%)	42 (11.08%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. CONCEPTUALISING THE MENTAL SPHERE IN DISCOURSE: FROM ENLIGHTENMENT PHILOSOPHY TO MARK TWAIN	48 (10.91%)	43 (11.35%)

Event Action		Events	Events
		337 % of Total: 13.82% (2,439)	304 % of Total: 14.04% (2,165)
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. CONFLICT, CONFRONTATION, AND WAR REFLECTED IN MASS MEDIA: SEMANTIC WARS, THEIR VICTORS AND VICTIMS	56 (16.62%)	50 (16.45%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. FANTASY WORD SOUNDING IN MARKETING PHONOSEMANTICS	50 (14.84%)	45 (14.80%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. ACADEMIC AND LITERARY COMMUNICATION: ADDRESSABILITY, STATUSES, AND FUNCTIONING	47 (13.95%)	43 (14.14%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. A CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS OF PERSIAN AND ENGLISH VOWELS AND CONSONANTS	46 (13.65%)	38 (12.50%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. MYSTERIOUS FEARS: LEXICAL MEANS OF EXPRESSING THE CONCEPTUAL CATEGORY THE MYSTIC IN THE ENGLISH GOTHIC NARRATION OF THE 18TH CENTURY	44 (13.06%)	41 (13.49%)
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. INDIVIDUAL SPEECH BEHAVIOUR OF RUSSIAN-SPEAKING PROSECUTORS IN THE 19-20TH CENTURIES: A CASE STUDY IN IMPLICIT PRAGMATICS	34 (10.09%)	30 (9.87%)

3. Citation report by 31.12.2019, Web of Science and most cited articles

Citation report for 75 results from All Databases between 1900 and 2020

You searched for: PUBLICATION NAME: (Lege artis language yesterday, today, tomorrow) ...[More](#)

This report reflects citations to source items indexed within All Databases.

Export Data:

Total Publications

75 Analyze

2000 2019

h-index

6

Average citations per item

2.15

Sum of Times Cited

161

Without self citations

30

Citing articles

69 Analyze

Without self citations

22 Analyze

Use the checkboxes to remove individual items from this Citation Report

or restrict to items published between and

	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	Average Citations per Year
	1	32	56	72	0	161	40.25
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SPEAKING TO THE GLOBAL AUDIENCE: A CASE STUDY INTO THE MESSAGE TRANSFORMATION By: Fedoriv, Yaroslava LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 1 Issue: 2 Pages: 1-36 Published: DEC 2016	0	3	5	2	0	10	2.50
<input type="checkbox"/> 2. FIGURING THE MALE AND FEMALE: FIRE AND WATER IN BRADBURY'S (SCIENCE) FICTION By: Davydyuk, Yuliya; Panasenko, Nataliya LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 1 Issue: 1 Pages: 4-74 Published: JUN 2016	1	2	2	4	0	9	2.25
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. 'HAUNTED BY AMBIGUITIES' REVISITED: IN SEARCH OF A METAMETHOD FOR LITERARY TEXT DISAMBIGUATION By: Vorobyova, Olga P. LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 2 Issue: 1 Pages: 428-496 Published: JUN 2017	0	2	4	2	0	8	2.67
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. METAPHOR IN MEDIA LANGUAGE AND COGNITION: A PERSPECTIVE FROM CONCEPTUAL METAPHOR THEORY By: Kovecses, Zoltan LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 3 Issue: 1 Pages: 124-141 Published: JUN 2018	0	0	0	7	0	7	3.50
<input type="checkbox"/> 5. PRAGMATICS OF EMOTIONALITY IN DISCOURSE PROCESSING: PROLEGOMENA TO IDEOLOGY SHAPING ENGINES By: Pinich, Iryna LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 2 Issue: 1 Pages: 262-298 Published: JUN 2017	0	1	5	1	0	7	2.33
<input type="checkbox"/> 6. TOWARDS UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTUALISATION IN COGNITIVE TERMINOLOGY By: Manerko, Larissa LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 1 Issue: 2 Pages: 129-170 Published: DEC 2016	0	3	1	3	0	7	1.75
<input type="checkbox"/> 7. CONFLICT, CONFRONTATION, AND WAR REFLECTED IN MASS MEDIA: SEMANTIC WARS, THEIR VICTORS AND VICTIMS By: Panasenko, Nataliya; Gregus, L'ubos; Zabuzhanska, Inna LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 3 Issue: 2 Pages: 132-163 Published: DEC 2018	0	0	0	6	0	6	3.00
<input type="checkbox"/> 8. MONOMODAL AND MULTIMODAL INSTANTIATIONS OF CONCEPTUAL METAPHORS OF BREXIT By: Morozova, Olena LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 2 Issue: 2 Pages: 250-283 Published: DEC 2017	0	0	1	5	0	6	2.00

9. **PROSODY OF THE VIEWPOINT IN POLITICAL DISCOURSE**
 By: Alexiyevets, Oxana
 LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 2 Issue: 1 Pages: 4-41
 Published: JUN 2017

0	1	2	3	0	6	2.00
---	---	---	---	---	---	------

10. **DEATH IN METAPHORICAL LANGUAGE**
 By: Uberman, Agnieszka
 LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 1 Issue: 2 Pages: 171-211
 Published: DEC 2016

0	3	2	1	0	6	1.50
---	---	---	---	---	---	------

How are these totals calculated?

Use the checkboxes to remove individual items from this Citation Report

or restrict to items published between 1900 and 2020 Go

2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	Average Citations per Year
1	32	56	72	0	161	40.25

11. **COGNITIVE-COMMUNICATIVE ORGANIZATION OF THE EVALUATIVE FRAME**
 By: Prihodko, Anna Illinichna
 LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 1 Issue: 1 Pages: 275-+
 Published: JUN 2016

0	2	2	2	0	6	1.50
---	---	---	---	---	---	------

12. **AUTONOMOUS METACOMMUNICATIVE LEXICON AND ITS SPECIFICS IN MANIPULATIVE DISCOURSE**
 By: Gnezdilova, Yaroslava
 LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 2 Issue: 1 Pages: 42-89
 Published: JUN 2017

0	1	3	1	0	5	1.67
---	---	---	---	---	---	------


13. **WHEN PHONETICS MATTERS: CREATION AND PERCEPTION OF FEMALE IMAGES IN SONG FOLKLORE**
 By: Stashko, Halyna
 LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 2 Issue: 1 Pages: 299-335
 Published: JUN 2017

0	2	3	0	0	5	1.67
---	---	---	---	---	---	------

14. **REVERSE PERSPECTIVE AS A NARRATIVE TECHNIQUE IN AMERINDIAN PROSAIC TEXTS**
 By: Volkova, Svitlana
 LEGE ARTIS-LANGUAGE YESTERDAY TODAY TOMORROW Volume: 1 Issue: 1 Pages: 359-394
 Published: JUN 2016

0	3	1	1	0	5	1.25
---	---	---	---	---	---	------

4. The number of our site visitors by 31.12.2019



5. By the end of the year we had visitors from 109 countries.



Countries

Visits from 109 countries registered.

up



1 Recent Pageview:

13:19:31

 **Slovakia**

Trnava, Trnavský kraj

6. The map of visitors looked like this.

2D Map

3D Globe


Locations

24 Hours

Settings



16,226 visits since June 18, 2016



1 Recent Pageview:

13:19:31

 **Slovakia**

Trnava, Trnavský kraj

7. The most active visitors and readers of our Journal in December 2019 live in these countries

No.	Country	Last Visit ▼		Percent & Number of Visits	
1	 Slovakia	Tue Dec 31, 2019	13:19:31	27.94%	4,533
2	 United States	Tue Dec 31, 2019	10:53:07	15.05%	2,442
3	 China	Tue Dec 31, 2019	05:07:17	2.63%	426
4	 Ukraine	Mon Dec 30, 2019	20:06:26	28.47%	4,619
5	 Poland	Mon Dec 30, 2019	18:32:46	1.62%	263
6	 Russian Federation	Sun Dec 29, 2019	20:23:06	7.62%	1,237
7	Europe	Sun Dec 29, 2019	14:09:36	0.70%	113
8	 Brazil	Sun Dec 29, 2019	13:09:37	0.14%	23

Nataliya Panasenko,
Editor-in-Chief